the Plaintiff's arguments were rejected by the Delaware Bankruptcy Court.¹

2. **Scope of Discovery**

The Plaintiff asserts, as it did before the Delaware courts, that discovery from KACC is necessary to understand "what Kaiser was putting into the waste piles." (Pl.'s Suppl. Sec. Case Mgmt. Stat. 4:11.) And although environmental agency demands are the purported trigger for this need, the Plaintiff has only provided a July 29, 2005 letter from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Despite the Plaintiff's statement that the information is necessary to understand what KACC put into the landfills, Exhibit A to the Supplemental Second Case Management Statement contains document requests that appear to go far beyond this need. Such requests include (a) all SEC filings that refer to any litigation or environmental issues and conditions, (b) all documents that relate to any litigation or threatened litigation pertaining to environmental issues, (c) all documents that relate to air pollution controls, (d) all documents that pertain to building permits, (e) all documents that pertain to workers' compensation claims related to exposure to waste or industrial processes at the site, and (f) all documents that pertain to any application for insurance.

While the Defendants believe these requests are overbroad and beyond the scope of the relief granted by the Delaware District Court, the scope of discovery does not need to be resolved at the May 23, 2008 Case Management Conference. Rather, the Defendants respectfully request that at the Case Management Conference, this Court establish (a) a deadline for the Defendants to file an answer to the Amended Complaint, (b) a schedule for the limited discovery necessary for the Plaintiff to respond to actions requested by governmental agencies, and (c) given the Plaintiff's agreement (Pl.'s Suppl. Sec. Case Mgmt. Stat. 3:26; 4:1-3), waiver of the parties' obligations under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a) and 26(f) pending the outcome of Plaintiff's appeal of the Delaware Bankruptcy Court's order directing the Plaintiff to dismiss this action. With respect to the scope of the Plaintiff's discovery, the Defendants propose that the parties meet and confer to resolve any differences and only if an agreement cannot be reached file

DEFS' SUPPL. CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT, C 07-06072 RMW/PVT

Although those issues are not before this Court, KACC notes that Plaintiff has omitted certain key facts.

	Case 5:07-cv-06072-RMW	Document 26	Filed 05/22/2008	Page 3 of 3
1	appropriate motions for later disposition by the Court.			
2				_
3 4	Dated: May 22, 2008		Respectfully submitted	1,
5			JONES DAY	
6			By: /c/Kalli A Cr	ouch
7			By: /s/ Kelli A. Crouch	ouch
8			Counsel for Defendant Attorneys for Kaiser A and Kaiser Aluminum	ts Aluminum Corporation
9			and Kaiser Aluminum Corporation LLC	& Chemical
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	SFI-584392v1			PPL. CASE MANAGEMENT